DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

APR 2 1 2003

To the matter of Request for Review of the Decision of the
Universal Service Administrator by
The North American Family Institute
Danvers, Massachusetts

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service
Changes to the Board of Directors of the

RECEIVED P INSPECTED

APR 2 1 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

FCC - MAILROOM

FCC - MAILROOM

FCC - MAILROOM

FCC DOCKET NO. 96-45

WAIVER REOUEST

1. <u>Introduction</u>

This is a request for a waiver **of** the deadline for appeal of a SLD ruling on a 471 application (471 #330398) by the North American Family Institute (NAFI) (billed entity #227003). For the reasons which follow, NAFI respectfully requests that the FCC grant its waiver request

ii. Statement of the Relevant Facts

National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.

The North American Family Institute, Inc (NAFI) is a non-profit human service agency with a mission to create diverse and innovative services to help individuals assume control over their lives and become responsible and productive citizens. As a multi-state organization, we deliver a wide array of services for children, adults and families who need guidance, mental health, educational and integrated systems of lreatment and support. One significant part of our program is alternative K- 12 schools for youth with special needs. These schools operate under the appropriate state

departments of education and offer structured instruction in keeping with state curriculum requirements and which lead to high-school diplomas. It is for a number of these school programs in a number of states that we submitted the abovementioned 471 application for E-Rate eligible services. Because our schools are in a different states and utilize a large degree or site-based administration, there are a considerable number of vendors for these services, each chosen to best meet each school's needs.

The SLD denied certain of the funding requests on the subject 471 stating "Applicant has not provided sufficient documentation to determine the eligibility of this item." **NAFI** believes that sufficient information was provided and that all information requested by the SLD during processing of the application was provided in as requested. Therefore, **NAFI** tiled an appeal request in a timely fashion on 02/25/2003 however the U.S. Postal Service unexpectedly delayed delivery of the appeal until 03/03/2003.

iii. Questions Presented for Review

- 1. In reference to the request for a waiver of the appeal deadline the questions to be decided are:
 - a. Whether the appeal was send in a prudent time frame?
 - b. Whether the delays in delivery were beyond the control of NAFI?

iv. Argument.

I. a. Whether the appeal was send in a prudent time frame?

NAFI sent the subject appeal by the U.S. Postal Service on 02/25/2003. The appeal was unusually and unexpectedly delayed by the Postal Service until 0310312003 (see Postal receipt #1). NAFI contends that posting the appeal on 02/25/2003 was a prudent course of action. We cite two pieces of evidence. First, a second appeal (see attached Postal receipt #2) was filed on the same day. It was received by the SLD in the foreseen time on 02/27/2003. Second, the letters posted by the SLD to NAFI acknowledging receipt of the appeals were also delivered in a timeframe that was consistent with the appropriateness of our action in filing the appeal when we did. All this evidence supports NAFI's contention that it acted in a prudent and reasonable fashion that was well within the E-Rate program rules.

b. Whether the delays in delivery were beyond the control of NAFI??

We believe and assert that the appeal was posted in a reasonable timefranie and that the delivery delays were entirely unexpected and unforeseeable.

The delays were beyond the control of NAFI. Neither NAFI nor its students and families should be asked to forgo necessary technology or incur excess expense as a result of delivery delays on the part of the U.S. Postal Service that were beyond NAFI's control.

v. <u>Relief Sought</u>

For the reasons stated above, NAFI respectfully requests that the FCC issue a waiver of the appeal deadline and order that NAFI's appeal be remanded to the SLD for full consideration on its nietits.

Respectfully submitted, THE NORTH AMERICAN FAMILY INSTITUTE

Ву:

Daniel Nakamoto

Executive Director of Administration

10 Harbor St

Danvers, MA 01923

TOSEAT TECETIPE #1		
	166	U.S. Postal Service TAM CERTIFIED MAIL TAM RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
	-0	For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com
	밀	CAR. E ISE AL USE
	<u> </u>	Postage \$ 3.85 UNIT ID: 0923
	0001	Certified Fee 2.30 Postmark Return Reciept Fee EB 2 5 2008.75 Here
	2030 2030	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) Total Postage & Fees #SPS 7.90 Clerk: KYR7CQ 02/25/03
	7002	Sirred, Apt. No.; BIX 12t, to South Jefferson Rd
		PS Form 3800. June 2002 PS Form 3800. June 2002 PS Form 3800. June 2002

2. Article Number (Transfer from service latter) PS Form 3811, August 2001	7002 2030 0001 9760 8166 Domestic Return Receipt	2ACPRI-03-Z-098
Whippany, NJ 079	74 Resinced Delivery Lawrence	
117 075	Theured Mell C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes
SLD Box 125-Corresponder Bosouth Jefferson	Pd B. Sendos Type Contined Mail Express Mail Return Receipt Return Receipt Return Receipt C.O.D.	for Merchandise
1. Article Addressed to: Letter & Appeal		
 Attach this card to the pack of the man or on the front if space permits. 	D. is delivery address different indiction 1? If YES, enter delivery address below:	☐ Yes ☐ No
tem 1. Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the restricted between the restricted points on the restricted points.	Nerse B. Received by (Printed Name)	Agent Agent
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVER	

tion of the second of the seco

8173	U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MA (Domestic Mail Only; No.	IL REC			
	For delivery information vis	it our website a	at www.usps.comp		
760	WALPPARY, NO OF	MAL	USE	to a second	e je se v savetka seppe
0-	Postage \$	5.30	UNIT ID: 0923	s projektive soon in the	
1000	Certified Fee	2.30	Postmark	:	
	(Endorsement Required)	Nº 1.75	Here		
	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	/	Clerk: KYR7CQ		
ī	Total Postage & Fees	3.05	02/25/03		
5007	Sent To Letter A Appell Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No. Box 1217 City, State, ZIP+4 When Daw PS Form 3800, June 2012	l-SL fossith	D Felfflesion Pol 079F1 See Reverse for Instructions		

PS Form 3811 , August 200 1	Domestic Return Receipt	2ACPRI-03-Z-098
2. Article Number (Transfer trob) service (sep) (1)	0 0605 2007	1001 9760 8173
Whispary, No	0/981 4. Restricted t	Delivery? (Extra Fee)
Box 125 - Corresponding South Jeb	and once Unit 3. Berrie Tyle erson 2d : Depute erson 2d : Dissing	red 🔲 Return Receipt for Merchandise Mail 📵 C.O.D.
Letter & Appeal. Schools and Lib	variés div	
1. Article Addressed to:		address different from item 1?
 Complete trens 1, 2 that 3. Also tem 4 if Restricted Delivery is de Print your name and address on 1 so that we can return the card to Attach this card to the back of the or on the front if space permits. 	sired. he reverse you.	Agent Ag
SENDER: CONPLETE THIS SECT		THIS SECTION ON DELIVEAY